Radial electric field dynamics on ASDEX Upgrade and comparison with neoclassical theory

M. Cavedon^{1,2}, T. Pütterich¹, E. Viezzer¹, G. Birkenmeier^{1,2}, T. Happel¹, F.M. Laggner³, F. Ryter¹, U. Stroth^{1,2} and the ASDEX Upgrade Team

¹ Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, 85748 Garching, Germany
 ² Physik-Department E28, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany
 ³ Institute of Applied Physics, TU Wien, Fusion@ÖAW, 1040 Vienna, Austria

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.





▶ <u>I-phase</u> (dith. H-mode, . . .):

- present at the L-H and H-L transitions
- turbulence burts A_D correlated with magnetic signal \dot{B}_{θ}

L-I-L transitions:

 repetitive L-mode to I-phase and back to L-mode transitions





 repetitive L-mode to I-phase and back to L-mode transitions

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 1/14





M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory





- I-phase (dith. H-mode, ...):
 - present at the L-H and H-L transitions
 - turbulence burts A_D correlated with magnetic signal B_θ

<u>L-I-L transitions:</u>

 repetitive L-mode to I-phase and back to L-mode transitions



- **1** Relate macroscopic change of P_{thr} to the microscopic E_r shear to understand background conditions for H-mode onset
- 2 Compare neoclassical predictions of E_r with measurements to assess role of ZFs and to understand triggering mechanism of H-mode

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 2/14



- **1** Relate macroscopic change of P_{thr} to the microscopic E_r shear to understand background conditions for H-mode onset
- 2 Compare neoclassical predictions of E_r with measurements to assess role of ZFs and to understand triggering mechanism of H-mode



- 2 Experimental background
 - Neo-classical radial electric field
 - Turbulence induced shear flows
- 3 Edge CXRS diagnostic at ASDEX Upgrade
- 4 Experiments
- 5 Conclusions and Outlook

Nature of the radial electric field



M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

Nature of the radial electric field





Nature of the radial electric field





M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 4/14

Evidence of zonal flows in the DIII-D tokamak



 $\omega_{\rm E \times B} \sim E_r$ (red) fluctuates with the same frequency as \tilde{n}/n (green) but $\omega_{\rm E \times B, dia} \sim E_{r,\rm NEO}$ (purple) does not

Evidence of zonal flows in the DIII-D tokamak



 $\omega_{\rm E\times B} \sim E_r$ (red) fluctuates with the same frequency as \tilde{n}/n (green) but $\omega_{\rm E\times B,dia} \sim E_{r,\rm NEO}$ (purple) does not



Charge eXchange Recombination Spectroscopy





M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

Localized measurements of:

$$\bullet \ \sigma \Rightarrow T_{\alpha} \sim T_i$$
$$\bullet \ A \Rightarrow n_{\alpha}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \Delta \lambda \Rightarrow v_{\alpha}$$

 $\Rightarrow E_r = \frac{\nabla p_\alpha}{eZ_\alpha n_\alpha} - v_{p,\alpha}B_t + v_{t,\alpha}B_p$

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 6/14

Upgrade of the edge charge exchange system



- 52 LOS (beam 3): CPR: 21 poloidal (1 head) CMR: 31 toroidal (3 heads)
- ▶ radial coverage and resolution: $R_{maj} \in [2.10, 2.16] \text{ m}, \Delta r \leq 5 \text{mm}$

Upgrade of the edge charge exchange system



LOS setup of L-H transition studies



- 52 LOS (beam 3): CPR: 21 poloidal (1 head) CMR: 31 toroidal (3 heads)
- ▶ radial coverage and resolution: $R_{maj} \in [2.10, 2.16] \text{ m}, \Delta r \leq 5 \text{mm}$
- temporal resolution: 35 LOSs@2.3 ms, 9 LOSs@100 μs
 - \Rightarrow impurity seeding
- timescales:
 - $\blacktriangleright\,$ Radial force balance established on Alfven time $\sim 1\,\mu s$
 - Thermal equilibration time He-D*:~ 30 µs
 - Thermal equilibration time D-D*: \sim 100 μ s

 ${}^{*}T_{i} = 100 \, {
m eV} \quad n_{i} = 2.0 imes 10^{19} \, {
m m}^{-3}$

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 7/14

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory



- **1** Relate macroscopic change of P_{thr} to the microscopic E_r shear to understand background conditions for H-mode onset
- 2 Compare neoclassical predictions of E_r with measurements to assess role of ZFs and to understand triggering mechanism of H-mode

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 8/14



EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 9/14

Strongest confinement change: the I-phase onset



EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 9/14







- ► minimum of E_r (~ ∇E_r) scales at the L-H transition roughly linearly with B, coherent with P_{thr}
- H evaluations align with D
- ► Is this a threshold of v_{E×B} for the H-mode onset? It depends how edge turbulence changes with B

$$\min(v_{E\times B}) \sim \frac{dv_{E\times B}}{dr} \ge ?$$





► minimum of E_r (~ ∇E_r) scales at the L-H transition roughly linearly with B, coherent with P_{thr}

H evaluations align with D

► Is this a threshold of v_{E×B} for the H-mode onset? It depends how edge turbulence changes with B

$$\min(v_{E\times B}) \sim \frac{dv_{E\times B}}{dr} \ge ?$$





- ► minimum of E_r (~ ∇E_r) scales at the L-H transition roughly linearly with B, coherent with P_{thr}
- H evaluations align with D
- ► Is this a threshold of v_{E×B} for the H-mode onset? It depends how edge turbulence changes with B

$$\min(v_{E\times B}) \sim \frac{dv_{E\times B}}{dr} \ge ?$$



- **1** Relate macroscopic change of P_{thr} to the microscopic E_r shear to understand background conditions for H-mode onset
- 2 Compare neoclassical predictions of E_r with measurements to assess role of ZFs and to understand triggering mechanism of H-mode

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 11/14

I-phase: comparison with neoclassical predictions



- ▶ ∇T_i , ∇n_e , v_{pol} , v_{tor} collapse when turbulence rise
- \blacktriangleright within the error bars and the time resolution, no big deviation between $v_{E\times B}$ and $v_{\rm dia}^i$ are observed

I-phase: comparison with neoclassical predictions



▶ ∇T_i , ∇n_e , v_{pol} , v_{tor} collapse when turbulence rise

 \blacktriangleright within the error bars and the time resolution, no big deviation between $v_{E\times B}$ and $v_{\rm dia}^i$ are observed

I-phase: comparison with neoclassical predictions



▶ ∇T_i , ∇n_e , v_{pol} , v_{tor} collapse when turbulence rise

• within the error bars and the time resolution, no big deviation between $v_{E \times B}$ and v_{dia}^i are observed

L-I-L transitions



- ∇T_i and v_{pol} evolve at the same time
- ▶ pedestal builds through reduction of *T_i* at the separatrix rather than an increase at the pedestal top

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 13/14

L-I-L transitions





- ∇T_i and v_{pol} evolve at the same time
- ▶ pedestal builds through reduction of T_i at the separatrix rather than an increase at the pedestal top

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 13/14

L-I-L transitions





- ∇T_i and v_{pol} evolve at the same time
- pedestal builds through reduction of T_i at the separatrix rather than an increase at the pedestal top

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 13/14

Conclusions and Outlook

- Edge CXRS system at AUG has been upgraded: 21 + 31 LOS in 6 cm with temporal resolution of 100 μs
- $\min(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{LI}}) \propto \mathbf{B}$ in hydrogen and deuterium: Critical $v_{E \times B}$ for the H-mode onset? $\nabla E_r \sim \min E_r$?
- ▶ any change in $v_{E \times B}$ is connected with v_{dia}^{i} ($\nabla n_{e}, \nabla T_{i}$) even at really short timescales (~ 100 µs)

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

- Edge CXRS system at AUG has been upgraded: 21 + 31 LOS in 6 cm with temporal resolution of 100 μs
- $\min(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{LI}}) \propto \mathbf{B}$ in hydrogen and deuterium: Critical $v_{E \times B}$ for the H-mode onset? $\nabla E_r \sim \min E_r$?
- any change in $v_{E \times B}$ is connected with v_{dia}^{i} ($\nabla n_{e}, \nabla T_{i}$) even at really short timescales (\sim 100 μ s)

- Edge CXRS system at AUG has been upgraded: 21 + 31 LOS in 6 cm with temporal resolution of 100 μs
- $\min(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{LI}}) \propto \mathbf{B}$ in hydrogen and deuterium: Critical $v_{E \times B}$ for the H-mode onset? $\nabla E_r \sim \min E_r$?
- ▶ any change in $v_{E \times B}$ is connected with v_{dia}^i ($\nabla n_e, \nabla T_i$) even at really short timescales (~ 100 µs)

- Edge CXRS system at AUG has been upgraded: 21 + 31 LOS in 6 cm with temporal resolution of 100 μs
- $\min(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{LI}}) \propto \mathbf{B}$ in hydrogen and deuterium: Critical $v_{E \times B}$ for the H-mode onset? $\nabla E_r \sim \min E_r$?
- ▶ any change in $v_{E \times B}$ is connected with v_{dia}^i ($\nabla n_e, \nabla T_i$) even at really short timescales (~ 100 µs)

I-phase



Fluctuating phase of edge E_r and turbulence is observed in between L and H mode in different machines (in AUG is almost always there)

- dithering H-mode, I-phase, limit cycle oscillation, IM-mode, M-mode, . . .
- ▶ periodic suppression of turbulence (1 k Hz-20 kHz) by fluctuating $E_r \Rightarrow$ playground for zonal flows



I-phase



Fluctuating phase of edge E_r and turbulence is observed in between L and H mode in different machines (in AUG is almost always there)

- dithering H-mode, I-phase, limit cycle oscillation, IM-mode, M-mode, . . .
- ▶ periodic suppression of turbulence (1 k Hz-20 kHz) by fluctuating $E_r \Rightarrow$ playground for zonal flows



Compare neoclassical predictions of E_r with measurements to assess when and how much do turbulence unduced flows do contribute to the total $v_{E\times B}$

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 15/14



 $E_i({\rm He^{1+}} \to {\rm He^{2+}}) \sim 54.4\,{\rm eV} \, \Rightarrow {\rm passive\ emissivity\ extends\ inside\ confined\ plasma}$



- strong contribution from passive to spectra at plasma edge
- passive changes on fast timescales

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 16/14



 $E_i({\rm He^{1+}} \to {\rm He^{2+}}) \sim 54.4\,{\rm eV} \, \Rightarrow {\rm passive\ emissivity\ extends\ inside\ confined\ plasma}$



- strong contribution from passive to spectra at plasma edge
- passive changes on fast timescales

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 16/14



 $E_i({\rm He^{1+}}\to{\rm He^{2+}})\sim 54.4\,{\rm eV}\,\Rightarrow\,{\rm passive\ emissivity\ extends\ inside\ confined\ plasma}$



Conditional synchronization and subtraction of passive spectra necessary to extract active informations

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 16/14

Time traces during fast fluctuations 31018



Experiments



- ► fluctuations live in between ELMs and end before the H-L transition ⇒ H-mode!
- $\blacktriangleright~E_r \sim E_{r,\rm NEO}$: turbulence induced flow shear seems not to play a role in this phase

M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

Experiments





- ► fluctuations live in between ELMs and end before the H-L transition ⇒ H-mode!
- $E_r \sim E_{r,\rm NEO}$: turbulence induced flow shear seems not to play a role in this phase



I-phase: H-L transition





M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 19/14

Limit cycle oscillation?



M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 20/14





M. Cavedon, Er dynamics and comparison with neoclassical theory

EFTSOMP Workshop - 28/29 June 2015, 20/14



$$P_{thr} = n_e^{0.7} B_T^{0.8} S^{0.9}$$

- if E_r = E_{r,NEO} ⇒ P_{thr} has to be thought in function of the ions (Ryter) ⇒ explanation for the minimum
- linear dependence on $n_e \Rightarrow$ number of particles to heat

•
$$\frac{dv_{E \times B}}{dr} > \omega_* \eta \sim k_y \rho_i \frac{v_{th}}{R} \frac{R}{L_T}$$

where $k_y \rho_i$ is a constant at the edge

$$\blacktriangleright E_{r,\text{NEO}} \sim \nabla T_i + T_i \frac{n_e}{n_e}$$